Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,488	HUBER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Courtney A. Brown	1616
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Courtney A. Brown</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jean Kahrau</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 January 2007	Time: <u>3 <i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
1 MA		
Acrob Richt		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND-Examiner and Jean Kahrau discussed, via telephone, if Applicant mailed a Response to a Non-Final Rejection mailed on June 7, 2007. Jean Kahrau told Examiner that Applicant did not mail a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/812,488, filed on March 30, 2004.